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Summary

In vitro toxicology approaches have evolved from a focus on molecular changes within a cell to understanding of toxicity-related mechanisms in systems that can mimic the in vivo environment. The recent development of three-dimensional (3-D) organotypic nasal epithelial culture models offers a physiologically robust system for studying the effects of exposure through inhalation. Exposure to cigarette smoke (CS) is associated with nasal inflammation; thus, the nasal epithelium is relevant for evaluating the pathophysiological impact of CS exposure. The present study investigated further the application of in vitro human 3-D nasal epithelial culture models for toxicological assessment of inhalation exposure. Aligned with 3Rs strategy, this study aimed to explore the relevance of a human 3-D nasal culture model to assess the toxicological impact of aerosols generated from a candidate modified risk tobacco product (cMRTP), the Tobacco Heating System (THS) 2.2, as compared with smoke generated from reference cigarette 3R4F. A series of experimental repetitions, where multiple concentrations of THS2.2 aerosol and 3R4F smoke were applied, were conducted to obtain reproducible measurements to understand the cellular/molecular changes that occur following exposure. In agreement with “Toxicity Testing in the 21st Century – a Vision and a Strategy”, this study implemented a systems toxicology approach and found that for all tested concentrations the impact of 3R4F smoke was substantially greater than that of THS2.2 aerosol in terms of cytotoxicity levels, alterations in tissue morphology, secretion of pro-inflammatory mediators, impaired ciliary function, and increased perturbed transcriptomes and miRNA expression profiles.
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1 Introduction

Mechanistic investigation of the impact of exposure on the lower airway remains challenging because access to lung tissues is limited. Although biopsy samples can be obtained from patients/donors for mechanistic studies of exposure-induced injury, a biopsy procedure is invasive and there are related ethical concerns (Peppercorn, 2013). Alternatively, animal studies allow the collection of biological samples from various animal models of human diseases. However, biological responses can vary among animal species, and findings obtained from animal studies may not apply to humans. Alternatives to animal testing have been proposed to overcome these drawbacks, including the 3Rs strategy – reduction, refinement, and replacement of laboratory animals – to reduce the number of animals, to refine the design of procedures such that pain and distress are minimized, and to replace animal models with alternative methods and lower organisms when possible (Doke and Dhawale, 2015). In this regard, the capability to preserve human respiratory cells in culture provides a tool not only to generate mechanistic data, but also to improve the predicted effects of exposure hazard through inhalation in humans. An emphasis on assessment studies using human-derived in vitro culture systems will reduce the problems that are inherent to interspecies translatability (Manuppello and Sullivan, 2015).

In vitro toxicology approaches have evolved from focusing on the molecular changes within a cell to understanding the toxicity-related mechanisms (cell-cell interactions) in models
that closely mimic the in vivo environments. There are many efforts to reconstruct the in vivo tissue complexity using in vitro culture systems. Traditional submerged cultures exhibit a flat, squamous-like morphology and lack ciliated, secretory, and basal cells (Dimova et al., 2005). Recently developed three-dimensional (3-D) human cell culture systems have allowed a better representation of the in vivo characteristics of the human respiratory tissue where the in vivo-like structure and function can be preserved.

The need for robust in vitro test systems of the nasal cavity has been driven mostly by the necessity to successfully deliver medications via the nasal route, such as the intranasal delivery of vaccines against respiratory infections (Kim, 2008). The nasal mucosa protects the sensitive lower airway tissues against harmful agents in the environment. Toxicant-induced injury in the nasal tissue can increase susceptibility to respiratory tract diseases (Harkema et al., 2006). The recent development of 3-D organotypic nasal epithelial cell culture models, including the commercially available air-liquid interface human nasal culture MucilAir™, offer more physiologically relevant and robust systems for studying the effects of exposure through inhalation and for studying microbial infections on the nasal cavity. Air-liquid nasal cultures contain a fully differentiated, columnar pseudostratified epithelium consisting of basal cells, ciliated cells, and mucus producing goblet cells, the proportion of which resemble that of the in vivo nasal tissue (Constant et al., 2014; Talikka et al., 2014). These cultures respond to pro-inflammatory stimuli and the secretion of various chemokines, cytokines, and growth factors has been reported following exposure to cigarette smoke (CS) (Talikka et al., 2014) or rhinovirus infection (Yu et al., 2011).

Exposure to CS is associated with nasal inflammation (Lee and Kim, 2013; Lan et al., 2007; Huvenne et al., 2010). A possible role of CS exposure in sinonasal cancer has also been discussed (‘t Mannetje et al., 1999; Kuper et al., 2002; Sham et al., 2010; Moon et al., 2010; d’Errico et al., 2013). Alterations of gene expression in nasal epithelial cells attributed to smoking resemble those in lower airway epithelial cells (Sridhar et al., 2008), although some differences exist (Huvenne et al., 2010). Efforts in identifying biomarkers associated with tobacco exposure from the genomic and epigenomic changes in nasal epithelial cells have recently become the focus (Project IV) of the Center for Tobacco Regulatory Science and Lung Health (TCORS)\(^1\). Therefore, the nasal epithelium is a relevant tissue to evaluate many aspects of the pathophysiological impact of CS on the respiratory system.

Motivated by recent regulatory demands to minimize the use of animals and by an increase in the development of novel tobacco products, a reliable toxicity assessment strategy that is able to determine the pathophysiological risk in humans is needed. Although similarities in the nasal passages exist across most mammalian species, striking interspecies differences in nasal architecture are known (Harkema et al., 2006). The nasal (and oral) cavities of humans are capable of both nasal and oral breathing, whereas most laboratory rodents are nose breathers (Harkema et al., 2006). Therefore, to circumvent the interspecies translatability, the aim of the current study was to explore the relevance of a human 3-D nasal culture model to detect toxicologically relevant findings to assess the biological impact of aerosols generated from a candidate modified risk tobacco product (cMRTP), the Tobacco Heating System (THS) 2.2, as compared with smoke generated from reference cigarette 3R4F. A series of experimental repetitions, where multiple concentrations of THS2.2 aerosol and 3R4F smoke were applied, was conducted to obtain reproducible measurements. The repetitions would allow a more reliable observation and further understanding of the cellular and molecular changes following CS exposure. Aligned with Toxicity Testing in the 21st Century - a Vision and a Strategy (Krewski et al., 2010), according to which animal use should be minimized and mechanistic data should be acquired using human cell-based in vitro systems (Sheldon and Cohen Hubal, 2009; Berg et al., 2011; Rovida et al., 2015), the present study implements a systems toxicological approach where computational biology analyses (i.e., a global analysis of mRNA and miRNA changes using a network-based approach) were used to complement various functional cell-based assays (i.e., cytotoxicity assay, cytochrome P450 activity assay, measurement of secreted pro-inflammatory factors, histological analysis, and cilia beating analysis).

2 Materials and methods

3R4F cigarettes and THS2.2 tobacco sticks

Two items were assessed in this study: 3R4F cigarettes (University of Kentucky, Kentucky Tobacco Research and Development Center, www.ca.uky.edu/refcig) and the tobacco heating system (THS)2.2 using tobacco sticks specifically designed to this effect (Philip Morris International R&D). THS2.2 is a heat-not-burn based technology that heats tobacco instead of burning it. Comparative analytical specifications between THS2.2 aerosol and 3R4F smoke were reported before (Phillips et al., 2016). The 3R4F cigarettes and THS2.2 sticks were conditioned for at least 48 h up to 21 days at 22 ± 1°C with a relative humidity of 60 ± 3% according to ISO standard 3402 (International Organization for Standardization, 1999).

3R4F smoke and THS2.2 aerosol exposure and endpoint generation

A series of five experimental repetitions was conducted. For each of the repetitions, three independent exposure runs were performed (each exposure run was conducted with 28 min continuous exposure to the smoke/aerosol). The duration of exposure was chosen based on the previously reported dose-dependent response of matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)1 secretion; the secretion of MMP1 was considered as a control for the responsiveness of organotypic airway cultures to CS (Mathis et al., 2013). Mathis et al. reported that the highest concentration of secreted MMP1 was observed in a 3-D bronchial culture.

---

\(^1\) https://www.med.unc.edu/tcors-lung/research-projects/translational-studies-to-identify-epithelial-biomarkers-of-smoke-exposure
The concentration of nicotine (mg/l) in the 3R4F smoke and THS2.2 aerosol was matched; nicotine was used as the internal reference compound to compare the impact of 3R4F smoke and THS2.2 aerosol (the nicotine yield from one 3R4F cigarette is approximately 1.4 fold greater than from one THS2.2 stick (Gonzalez-Suarez et al., 2016)). The concentrations were selected based on a dose range finding experiment (data not shown) conducted to identify the maximum tolerable concentration of 3R4F smoke and THS2.2 aerosol. The maximum tolerable concentrations were determined based on the nasal culture morphology where there was no evident morphological damage. Biological test systems (cell cultures) were placed in the

model following 28 min exposure to 3R4F smoke, as compared with 7, 14, and 21 min of 3R4F exposure.

In the current study, for the exposure runs, each 3R4F cigarette was smoked for 10-11 puffs to a standard butt length (approximately 35 mm) and each THS2.2 was aerosolized up to 12 puffs per THS2.2 stick. The smoking protocol was performed according to the Health Canada smoking regimen (a 55 ml puff over 2 sec, twice per min with an 8 sec pump exhaust time) (Health Canada, 1999).

Within the Dilution/Distribution Module of the Vitrocell® 24/48 exposure system, 3R4F smoke or THS2.2 aerosols were diluted with air to achieve the targeted concentrations.

The concentration of nicotine (mg/l) in the 3R4F smoke and THS2.2 aerosol was matched; nicotine was used as the internal reference compound to compare the impact of 3R4F smoke and THS2.2 aerosol (the nicotine yield from one 3R4F cigarette is approximately 1.4 fold greater than from one THS2.2 stick (Gonzalez-Suarez et al., 2016)). The concentrations were selected based on a dose range finding experiment (data not shown) conducted to identify the maximum tolerable concentration of 3R4F smoke and THS2.2 aerosol. The maximum tolerable concentrations were determined based on the nasal culture morphology where there was no evident morphological damage. Biological test systems (cell cultures) were placed in the
Base Module of the Vitrocell® 24/48 exposure system within a climatic chamber, as illustrated in Figure 1.

A pair design was implemented where the exposed samples (to either whole combustible 3R4F smoke or whole THS2.2 aerosol) were exposed with their corresponding air controls during a given exposure run (Fig. 1). Endpoints were measured at various post-exposure time points to assess the responses of the cultures following exposure to 3R4F smoke and THS2.2 aerosol.

3R4F smoke was generated using a 30-port carousel smoking machine (SM) 2000 (Philip Morris International, referred to as “SM 2000-3R4F”) connected to a Vitrocell® 24/48 exposure system (Vitrocell® Systems GmbH, Waldkirch, Germany) (Fig. 1). Within one exposure run of 3R4F smoke exposure, tissue cultures were exposed to:

a) A 3R4F smoke dilution to reach a nicotine concentration of 0.15 mg/l smoke, referred to as group “3R4F (0.15),”
b) A 3R4F smoke dilution to reach a nicotine concentration of 0.25 mg/l smoke, referred to as group “3R4F (0.25),” and
c) Undiluted air exposure, referred to as group “3R4F (Air),” which is the air-exposed control.

Another 30-port carousel smoking machine (referred to as “SM 2000-THS2.2”) was used to generate THS2.2 aerosol, and connected to another Vitrocell® 24/48 exposure system. Within one exposure run of THS2.2 aerosol exposure, tissue cultures were exposed to:

a) A THS2.2 aerosol dilution to reach a nicotine concentration of 0.15 mg/l aerosol, referred to as group “THS2.2 (0.15),”
b) A THS2.2 aerosol dilution to reach a nicotine concentration of 0.27 mg/l aerosol, referred to as group “THS2.2 (0.27),”
c) A THS2.2 aerosol dilution to reach a nicotine concentration of 0.44 mg/l aerosol, referred to as group “THS2.2 (0.44),”
d) Undiluted air exposure, referred to as group “THS2.2 (Air),” which is the air-exposed control.

An exposure run for 3R4F smoke was performed simultaneously with THS2.2 aerosol (using the dedicated Vitrocell® 24/48 exposure system connected to the specific smoking machine).

Nicotine concentrations of 3R4F smoke and THS2.2 aerosol within the Vitrocell® 24/48 exposure system

Four different trapping experiments were performed throughout the study period (N = 3 trapped columns were collected for each trapping experiment). Because whole smoke/aerosols are trapped in the EXtrelut® 3NT columns (Merck Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA), these trapping experiments cannot be done simultaneously while exposing nasal cultures.

For the trapping experiment, the diluted 3R4F smoke or THS2.2 aerosol was trapped in the exhaust of the dilution/distribution module of the Vitrocell® 24/48 exposure system. The smoke/aerosol was trapped in EXtrelut® 3NT columns soaked with 2 ml of 0.5 M H₂SO₄ solution, as previously reported (Majeed et al., 2014). Nicotine concentrations were measured using gas chromatography-flame ionization detection. The separation was performed using the Agilent 7890A gas chromatograph (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Peak detection, integration, and quantification of nicotine (according to an internal standard calibration curve) were performed automatically using Agilent ChemStation software (Agilent Technologies).

Deposited carbonyls in the Vitrocell® base module following 3R4F smoke and THS2.2 aerosol exposure

Ten sampling experiments were conducted throughout the study period. The deposition of various carbonyls was measured in the phosphate buffered saline (PBS)-filled Cultivation Base Module following a 28-minute exposure to whole smoke or test aerosol according to the Health Canada smoking regimen (a 55 ml puff over 2 sec, twice per min with an 8 sec pump exhaust time) (Health Canada, 1999). Briefly, before exposure, each row in the Cultivation Base Module of the Vitrocell® 24/48 exposure system was filled with 18.5 ml PBS. Following exposure to diluted 3R4F smoke or THS2.2 aerosol, an aliquot of 1.2 ml PBS-exposed sample (per row) was collected and subjected to a high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) coupled with a tandem MS (HPLC-MS/MS) analysis, as previously reported (Majeed et al., 2014).

Organotypic tissue culture models

The organotypic 3-D human nasal epithelial tissue cultures MucilAir™ (Epithelix Sàrl, Geneva, Switzerland) were grown in Transwell® inserts (cat #3470, Corning Incorporated, Tewksbury, MA, USA) and kept in 24-well plates at the air-liquid interface. The nasal MucilAir™ culture models were reconstructed using primary nasal epithelial cells isolated from a healthy 30-year-old male non-smoker donor who underwent surgical lobectomy (the same donor was used for all experiments reported here). The sample was collected according to the Helsinki Declaration on biomedical research and approved by the local ethics commission, as specified by the supplier.

For each of the five experimental repetitions, a batch of nasal MucilAir™ was obtained from the supplier. Upon arrival, the nasal MucilAir™ cultures were approximately 45 days old and fully differentiated. They were maintained at 37°C in 0.7 ml MucilAir™ culture medium (the medium was renewed every 48 h according to the supplier’s instructions). After four days, the cultures were exposed to 3R4F smoke or THS2.2 aerosol according to the experimental design. Subsequent to the exposure, the cultures were maintained in 0.7 ml fresh MucilAir™ culture medium in 24-well plates for up to 72 h post-exposure, after which there was no medium change until samples were collected for various endpoint measurements. Throughout the study, the cultures were monitored routinely by microscopy to detect any bacterial or fungal contamination and to assess their gross morphology.

Adenylate kinase (AK)-based cytotoxicity assay

AK activity was measured in the basolateral medium of the cultures (as a cross-sectional sampling at various time points post-exposure) using a BioVision Bioluminescence Cytotoxicity Assay Kit (BioVision, Inc. Milpitas, CA, USA) or ToxiLight™ bioassay kit (Lonza, Rockland, MA, USA) according to the manufacturers’ instruction. The luminescence signal was mea-
measured using a FluorStar Omega reader (BMG Labtech GmbH, Ortenberg, Germany). For each of the five experimental repetitions, the value of the luminescence signal was normalized using the mean value of the positive control (Triton X-100-treated cultures; considered as 100% cytotoxicity) and negative control (PBS-treated or untreated cultures; considered as 0% cytotoxicity); Formula S1 (https://doi.org/10.14573/altex.1605041s). Triton X-100 (1% final concentration) was added to the basolateral media of the cultures for 24 h to maximally induce cell lysis. The mean values of the normalized relative luminescence unit are reported in the figures.

**Histology processing**

Because major morphological changes were not expected to occur immediately, histological assessment was not performed for the cultures collected at the 4 and 24 h post-exposure time points. Briefly, for the histology processing, 3-D nasal cultures were washed three times with PBS and fixed for 2 h in freshly prepared 4% paraformaldehyde. The fixed cultures were separated from the inserts by detaching the membrane from the plastic with forceps and bisected at their mid-point prior to processing using Leica ASP300S tissue processor (Leica Biosystem Nussloch GmbH, Nussloch, Germany). The two bisected pieces (per culture sample/1 insert) were embedded into one paraffin block. Microscopy sections of 5-μm thickness were obtained using a microtome and mounted on glass slides. The slides were subsequently transferred to an automated Leica ST5020 slide stainer for staining with hematoxylin (Merck Millipore) and eosin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) (H&E), and Alcian blue (Sigma-Aldrich). Subsequently, the stained slides were covered with a glass coverslipper (Leica Biosystem Nussloch GmbH). Digital images were generated using the Hamamatsu NanoZoomer 2.0 slide scanner (Hamamatsu Photonics, K.K., Japan).

**Immunostaining and quantification**

Immunostaining was automatically performed using the Leica Bond-max autostainer (Leica Biosystem Nussloch GmbH) with the following primary antibodies: anti-Ki67 (Bond™ Ready-to-use Primary Antibody k67 (K2) (Catalog no. PAO230, Leica Biosystem Nussloch GmbH); anti-p63 (1:100 μl, Abcam-ab735, Abcam, Cambridge, UK); and anti-FoxJ1 (1:2000 μl, Abcam-ab40869, Abcam), as well as the Bond polymer Refine Detection Kit (DS9800, Leica Biosystem Nussloch GmbH). Quantification of p63, k67, and FoxJ1 immunohistochemistry was performed automatically using Tissue Studio and Image Miner software from Definiens (Definiens AG, Munich, Germany). The number of total nuclei (positively stained and negatively stained nuclei) were automatically calculated by the Definiens software. For a given sample, the proportion of the positive stained cells of each marker was calculated in comparison to the total cell numbers (nucleus).

**Cilia beating frequency (CBF)**

CBF was measured by placing the cultures in a top-stage incubation chamber (Life Cell Instruments, Seoul, Korea) at 37°C. Cultures were equilibrated for 5 minutes before measurement. CBF was recorded using a digital high-speed video camera (Sony XCD V60, Sony, Tokyo, Japan) connected to an inverted microscope system (Leica DMi8, Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) at a rate of 90 frames per second through an objective lens (4x). A total of 512 video frames were recorded from at least two visual fields (the center of the insert and peripheral). CBF was assessed before exposure, immediately after (0 h post-exposure), 24, and 48 h post-exposure (as a longitudinal measurement from each culture insert). Measuring CBF at 72 h post-exposure could be inferred from that at 48 h post-exposure.

Automated software CiliaFA was used, which runs on open-source software (ImageJ) (Smith et al., 2012). The software extracts the pixel intensities of the region of interest (ROI) over time from previously recorded video. The CiliaFA Analyser Results Report generated a total of 1600 ROI and converted the pixel intensity into ciliary beating frequency (between 3-52 Hz) based on a signal processing Fast Fourier Transformation (FFT). Subsequently, all 1600 FFT power magnitudes detected were summated to obtain a single power spectrum. For each sample, one spectrum was obtained by determining the mean of all spectra obtained from different visual fields. The spectrum was smoothed using moving mean values on 10 points (the delta between points was approximately 0.18 Hz). Finally, the cilia beating frequency of a given sample was derived from the highest magnitude of the FFT (2.5 Hz was considered the lowest limit of the detected frequency). In a given spectrum, a frequency less than 1.25-times the lowest magnitude was considered noise and not included in the calculation. The FFT power magnitude was normalized to the ambient noise (Formula S2, https://doi.org/10.14573/altex.1605041s). The FFT power magnitudes were subsequently integrated (sum) to those corresponding to the measured frequency described before. Finally, the summed FFT power magnitudes were normalized to the ambient noise, and to their corresponding air control (within a given exposure run, the pair sample).

**Cytochrome P450 (CYP) activity**

The activity of CYP1A1/1B1 (combined) was measured using a non-lytic P450-Glo™ assay (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instruction (as cross-sectional sampling at various time points of post-exposure). Briefly, the luminogenic CYP-Glo substrate luciferin-6’ chloroethyl ether (Luciferin-CEE), a substrate for both CYP1A1 and CYP1B1, was added to the basolateral medium 24 h prior to sample collection. For this reason, the CYP1A1/1B1 activity was not assessed at the 4 h post-exposure time point. The luminescence signal was measured in a FluorStar Omega reader (BMG Labtech GmbH, Ortenberg, Germany). For each of the five experimental repetitions, a positive control of CYP1A1/1B1 activity induction was measured from triplicate cultures treated with 30 nM 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) (Sigma-Aldrich).
added to the basolateral media and incubated for 48 h. For the negative control of CYP1A1/1B1 activity, luciferin-CEE (substrate only)-treated cultures were used. For each of the five experimental repetitions, the CYP1A1/1B1 activity levels were reported relative to the luminescence signals of the mean value of the triplicate positive controls (TCDD-treated tissue inserts were considered as 100% activity induction) and negative controls (considered as 0% activity); Formula S3 (https://doi.org/10.14573/altex.1605041s). The mean values of the normalized CYP activities are reported.

**Luminex-based measurement of secreted analytes**

Concentrations of secreted pro-inflammatory mediators were measured in the basolateral medium from the cultures collected at different post-exposure times (as cross-sectional sampling at various time points of post-exposure). The concentrations of various mediators in basolateral media increased with increasing post-exposure time, and a minimum of 24 h was required for a high probability to exceed the detection limits of the Luminex-based measurement (based on previous observations, data not shown). Therefore, the measurement was not performed in samples collected 4 h post-exposure. The analyte profiling was performed using Luminex® xMAP® technology (Luminex, Austin, TX, USA) and commercially available assay panels (EMD Millipore Corp., Schwalbach, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions to detect: epithelial growth factor (EGF), growth related oncogene alpha (GROα), interleukin(IL)-6, IL8, macrophage inflammatory protein 3A, MMP1, MMP9, soluble intercellular adhesion molecule (sICAM)1, tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase (TIMP)1, tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFA), and vascular endothelial growth factor alpha (VEGFA). The analysis was run on a Luminex®, 200™ or FLEXMAP 3D® reader equipped with xPONENT software (Luminex, Austin, TX, USA). The capability of the cultures to respond to inflammatory inducers was tested in representative cultures per experimental repetition, in which a set of triplicate samples were treated for 24 h with a combination of TNFA and IL1B (10 ng/ml final concentration for each in the basolateral media). To evaluate the basal levels of secreted mediators, a set of triplicate samples were treated for 24 h with PBS added to the basolateral media (for each of the five experimental repetitions). If the measured concentrations were below the limit of detection, a constant value was used (i.e., half of the lower limit of detection).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using SAS software version 9.2 (SAS Institute) on the following data: nicotine and carbonyl measurements, luminescence signals of the AK assay and CYP activity, immunostaining quantification, ciliary beating frequency and power, and the Luminex-based measurement of secreted mediators. Mean values and standard error of the mean are reported (unless otherwise specified). The sample number per endpoint is reported in Table S1 (https://doi.org/10.14573/altex.1605041s). Comparisons of an exposed sample versus its air control (i.e., the paired-sample from the same exposure run) were performed using a paired t-test. The comparison of 3R4F-exposed versus THS2.2-exposed samples was done, when applicable, after subtracting the values of the corresponding air controls (i.e., the paired sample). Then the comparison was done using a t-test corrected for non-equal variance (Satterthwaite correction). Numerical values from secreted mediator analysis (Luminex assay) were transformed using the natural log transformation.

**RNA/miRNA purification**

Total RNA including microRNA (miRNA) was isolated after washing the culture twice with cold (4°C) PBS at both the basal and apical sides. The cells were then lysed using 700 µl QIAzol™ lysis buffer (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) followed by RNA extraction using a Qiagen miRNAasy Mini Kit and a QiAcube robot (Qiagen). The quantity of the purified RNA was determined using a NanoDrop™ ND8000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The quality of the RNA was analyzed using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies).

**mRNA microarray**

One hundred ng of total RNA was reverse-transcribed to cDNA using the Affymetrix® HT 3’ IVT PLUS kit (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA). cDNA was then labelled, amplified to cRNA (complementary RNA), and fragmented. The cRNA concentration in the final hybridization cocktail (50.1 ng/µl) was hybridized into a GeneChip® Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix). Arrays were washed and stained on a GeneChip® Fluidics Station FS450 DX (Affymetrix) using the protocol FS450_0001 and scanned using a GeneChip® Scanner 3000 7G (Affymetrix). The AGCC software automatically gridded the DAT file image and extracted probe cell intensities into a CEL file. The mRNA array dataset is available in the Arrays Express repository (ID: E-MTAB-4740).

**Processing raw CEL files of the mRNA microarray**

The raw CEL files were background-corrected, normalized, and summarized using frozen-Robust Microarray Analysis (McCall et al., 2010). Background correction and quantile normalization were used to generate microarray expression values from all arrays passing quality control checks, and was performed using the custom CDF environment HGU133Plus2_Hs_ENTREZG v16.0 (Dai et al., 2005). A log-intensities plot, normalized-uncalced standard error plot (NUSE), relative log expression plot (RLE), median absolute value RLE (MARLE) and pseudo images using R packages were generated for quality checks (Affy-PLM; Bioconductor, Seattle, WA, USA) (Bolstad et al., 2005; Gautier et al., 2004).

CEL files that fulfilled at least one of the quality metric rules described below were dropped for further analyses: a) Pseudo-image displaying a spatial pattern covering approximately 10% of the pseudo-image; b) Median NUSE > 1.05; c) Median RLE > 0.1; and d) (MARLE-median(MARLE)) / (1.4826...
miRNA microarray

The FlashTag™ Biotin HSR kit (Affymetrix) was used to label miRNA. Two hundred ng of total RNA containing low molecular weight RNA were subjected to a tailing reaction followed by ligation of the biotinylated signal molecule to the target RNA sample. The arrays (miRNA version 3.0) were incubated in a GeneChip® Hybridization Oven 645 (Affymetrix). Arrays were washed and stained on a GeneChip® Fluidics Station FS450 DX (Affymetrix) with protocol FS450_0002 and scanned using a GeneChip® Scanner 3000 7G (Affymetrix). The AGCC software automatically gridded the DAT file image and extracted probe cell intensities into a CEL file.

Processing of raw CEL files of the miRNA microarray

The CEL files were read using the oligo package in the Bioconductor suite of microarray analysis tools for the R statistical software environment (Carvalho and Irizarry, 2010; Huber et al., 2015; R Core Team, 2013). Quality control (QC) of the miRNA raw data was performed using the arrayQualityMetrics package (Kauffmann et al., 2009) based on the following four metrics: (1) the distances between arrays for raw data; (2) the distances between arrays for normalized data; (3) the normalized unscaled standard errors (NUSE); and (4) the array intensity distributions. Arrays that were outliers in at least two of the metrics were discarded. Subsequently the QC was iteratively rerun on the remaining arrays until all were accepted (362 out of 371). Normalized probe-level data were obtained by applying robust multi-array normalization and summarized at the probe-set-level using the median polish method (Bolstad et al., 2003).

Using the annotation provided by Affymetrix and the latest miRNA nomenclature according to miRBase v21 (Kozomara and Griffiths-Jones, 2013), only the probesets pertaining to human were kept in the expression matrix. Only the miRNA probesets with significantly higher intensity values than their matched background probes must be considered as “detected”2. A p-value threshold of 0.01 was chosen to determine the detection calls based on Wilcoxon tests. If a miRNA probeset was detected in more than half of the samples in at least one sample group, then it was kept for the further analysis; otherwise, it was discarded; resulting in 527 probesets in the global expression matrix.

For each pairwise comparison, a submatrix was extracted from the global expression matrix by keeping only those samples belonging to the corresponding treatment or control groups, as well as the miRNA probesets that were detected in more than half of the samples in at least one of the two sample groups. A linear model for differential expressions was applied to the resulting submatrices using the moderated t statistics implemented in the limma package (Ritchie et al., 2015). The models included an additional variable to take into account the exposure runs. Subsequently, adjusted p-values were obtained following multiple testing corrections using the Benjamini-Hochberg FDR (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995). miRNAs

Network Perturbation Amplitude (NPA) for the analysis of transcriptomics data

The NPA methodology (Hoeng et al., 2014; Martin et al., 2012, 2014) aims to contextualize high dimensional transcriptomics data by combining gene expression (log2) fold-changes into fewer differential node values (one value for each node of a causal biological network model). The collection of causal biological networks used in the study was the human network suite CBN v1.3 (Boué et al., 2015). These networks range between a few dozen and two hundred nodes. Relevant network models considered in this study are listed in Table S2 (https://doi.org/10.14573/altex.1605041s). The differential node values are determined by a fitting procedure that infers the values that best satisfy the directionality of the causal relationships (positive or negative signs) contained in the network model while being constrained by the experimental data (the gene log2-fold-changes, which are described as downstream effects of the network itself). For the NPA scores, a confidence interval accounting for experimental variation and associated p-values is computed. In addition, companion statistics, derived to inform on the specificity of the NPA score with respect to the biology described in the network model, are shown as *O and K* if their p-values are below the significance level (0.05). A network is considered to be significantly impacted if three values (the confidence interval, *O, and K* statistics) are below 0.05. The detailed methodology was described previously (Hoeng et al., 2014; Martin et al., 2012, 2014).

Gene-set analysis (GSA) of transcriptomics data

GSA of transcriptomics data was performed using the Piano package in the R statistical environment (Varemo et al., 2013). Pathway maps were obtained from the KEGG database (Kanehisa et al., 2014) and exported as R objects into the package conductor suite of microarray analysis tools for the R statistical software environment (Carvalho and Irizarry, 2010; Huber et al., 2015; R Core Team, 2013). Quality control (QC) of the miRNA raw data was performed using the arrayQualityMetrics package (Kauffmann et al., 2009) based on the following four metrics: (1) the distances between arrays for raw data; (2) the distances between arrays for normalized data; (3) the normalized unscaled standard errors (NUSE); and (4) the array intensity distributions. Arrays that were outliers in at least two of the metrics were discarded. Subsequently the QC was iteratively rerun on the remaining arrays until all were accepted (362 out of 371). Normalized probe-level data were obtained by applying robust multi-array normalization and summarized at the probe-set-level using the median polish method (Bolstad et al., 2003).

Using the annotation provided by Affymetrix and the latest miRNA nomenclature according to miRBase v21 (Kozomara and Griffiths-Jones, 2013), only the probesets pertaining to human were kept in the expression matrix. Only the miRNA probesets with significantly higher intensity values than their matched background probes must be considered as “detected”2. A p-value threshold of 0.01 was chosen to determine the detection calls based on Wilcoxon tests. If a miRNA probeset was detected in more than half of the samples in at least one sample group, then it was kept for the further analysis; otherwise, it was discarded; resulting in 527 probesets in the global expression matrix.

For each pairwise comparison, a submatrix was extracted from the global expression matrix by keeping only those samples belonging to the corresponding treatment or control groups, as well as the miRNA probesets that were detected in more than half of the samples in at least one of the two sample groups. A linear model for differential expressions was applied to the resulting submatrices using the moderated t statistics implemented in the limma package (Ritchie et al., 2015). The models included an additional variable to take into account the exposure runs. Subsequently, adjusted p-values were obtained following multiple testing corrections using the Benjamini-Hochberg FDR (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995). miRNAs

*mad(MARLE)) > 1/√0.01, where mad is the median absolute deviation). Subsequently, the RLE and NUSE-based metrics were recomputed until no more of the CEL file was removed.

For each experimental factor combination item, concentration and post-exposure, a model to estimate the treatment effect was fitted with LIMMA (Smyth, 2004) by including the covariate exposure run as a blocking variable to account for the pairing during an exposure run (exposed vs. air control). The p-values for each computed effect were adjusted across genes using the Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate method (FDR). Differentially expressed genes were defined as a set of genes whose FDR was < 0.05.

Network Perturbation Amplitude (NPA) for the analysis of transcriptomics data

The NPA methodology (Hoeng et al., 2014; Martin et al., 2012, 2014) aims to contextualize high dimensional transcriptomics data by combining gene expression (log2) fold-changes into fewer differential node values (one value for each node of a causal biological network model). The collection of causal biological networks used in the study was the human network suite CBN v1.3 (Boué et al., 2015). These networks range between a few dozen and two hundred nodes. Relevant network models considered in this study are listed in Table S2 (https://doi.org/10.14573/altex.1605041s). The differential node values are determined by a fitting procedure that infers the values that best satisfy the directionality of the causal relationships (positive or negative signs) contained in the network model while being constrained by the experimental data (the gene log2-fold-changes, which are described as downstream effects of the network itself). For the NPA scores, a confidence interval accounting for experimental variation and associated p-values is computed. In addition, companion statistics, derived to inform on the specificity of the NPA score with respect to the biology described in the network model, are shown as *O and K* if their p-values are below the significance level (0.05). A network is considered to be significantly impacted if three values (the confidence interval, *O, and K* statistics) are below 0.05. The detailed methodology was described previously (Hoeng et al., 2014; Martin et al., 2012, 2014).

Gene-set analysis (GSA) of transcriptomics data

GSA of transcriptomics data was performed using the Piano package in the R statistical environment (Varemo et al., 2013). Pathway maps were obtained from the KEGG database (Kanehisa et al., 2014) and exported as R objects into the package graphite (Sales et al., 2012). For the GSA, fold-changes were used as gene-level statistics, and the mean was used as the gene-enrichment score. Statistical significance of the self-contained null hypothesis (Q2) and the gene sampling (Q1) was used to test the null hypothesis (Ackermann and Strimmer, 2009). For each test, the p-value was adjusted using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure.

conducted for this list of genes using the EnrichR tool (Chen et al., 2013), in which a standard Fisher exact test combined with resampling statistics involving random gene sets was used. Pathways with an EnrichR “combined score” > 1.00 were considered and disease specific pathways were excluded (Tab. S4, https://doi.org/10.14573/altex.1605041s). Finally, the KEGG pathway classes were reported for each of the miRNA clusters to infer the biological functions of the miRNAs.

Correlation analysis
The robustness of the study design was explored using correlation analyses on the following group of endpoints: 1) functional cell-based assays – which include AK assay, immunostaining quantification, CYP activity, and Luminex-based measurement of secreted analytes; 2) mRNA profiles; and 3) miRNA profiles. The Pearson and Spearman correlations were computed for each of these endpoint groups by correlating the means of the endpoint values obtained from the experimental repetitions 1 and 2, and the means of those obtained from experimental repetitions 3, 4, and 5.

Before correlating the endpoint values obtained from the functional cell-based assays, the difference (∆) between the exposed samples and air controls was calculated for each of below the FDR threshold of 0.05 were considered to be differentially expressed.

miRNA analysis
To obtain biological interpretation from altered miRNAs, target miRNAs were obtained from the experimentally-derived mRNA target (Vlachos et al., 2015). First, to allow a more reliable biological interpretation (avoiding false positive findings), a fold-change threshold was included (Mestdagh et al., 2009); only the differentially expressed miRNAs with log2 fold-changes exceeding 0.33 (those with at least 1.25 fold-changes, in addition to the statistical significance) were included in the analysis. Second, these miRNAs were clustered by Euclidean distance over the 16-fold-change values, resulting in four distinct clusters reported in the present manuscript. Third, the mRNA targets were identified using Diana-TarBase version 7.0 (Vlachos et al., 2015). Fourth, the miRNA targets were further filtered to include only those that were present in our transcriptomic dataset and negatively correlated with the mean of the miRNA fold-changes (coefficient correlation of -0.5) for a given cluster. This approach resulted in less than 50 potential target miRNAs per miRNA cluster (a list of genes is given in Tab. S3, https://doi.org/10.14573/altex.1605041s). Enrichment analysis for KEGG pathways was

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Trapping 1</th>
<th>Trapping 2</th>
<th>Trapping 3</th>
<th>Trapping 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3R4F low concentration</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target nicotine (mg/l)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilution in Vitrocell (%)</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual nicotine (mg/l)</td>
<td>0.139 ± 0.005 (N = 3)</td>
<td>0.137 ± 0.007 (N = 3)</td>
<td>0.155 ± 0.004 (N = 3)</td>
<td>0.156 ± 0.007 (N = 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3R4F high concentration</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target nicotine (mg/l)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilution in Vitrocell (%)</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual nicotine (mg/l)</td>
<td>0.240 ± 0.013 (N = 4)</td>
<td>0.247 ± 0.006 (N = 3)</td>
<td>0.257 ± 0.004 (N = 3)</td>
<td>0.263 ± 0.011 (N = 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THS2.2 low concentration</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target nicotine (mg/l)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilution in Vitrocell (%)</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual nicotine (mg/l)</td>
<td>0.145 ± 0.04 (N = 3)</td>
<td>0.148 ± 0.007 (N = 3)</td>
<td>0.144 ± 0.001 (N = 3)</td>
<td>0.154 ± 0.04 (N = 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THS2.2 medium concentration</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target nicotine (mg/l)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilution in Vitrocell (%)</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual nicotine (mg/l)</td>
<td>0.260 ± 0.042 (N = 3)</td>
<td>0.279 ± 0.032 (N = 3)</td>
<td>0.292 ± 0.006 (N = 3)</td>
<td>0.266 ± 0.008 (N = 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THS2.2 high concentration</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target nicotine (mg/l)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilution in Vitrocell (%)</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual nicotine (mg/l)</td>
<td>0.413 ± 0.017 (N = 3)</td>
<td>0.437 ± 0.015 (N = 3)</td>
<td>0.439 ± 0.009 (N = 3)</td>
<td>0.452 ± 0.021 (N = 3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The actual nicotine concentrations are expressed as the mean ± SEM. Abbreviations: N, number of samples (trapped in the EXtrelut® 3NT columns); SEM, standard error of the mean.
3 Results

3.1 3R4F smoke and THS2.2 aerosol exposure characterization

To assess the biological impact of 3R4F smoke and THS2.2 aerosols, a series of five experimental repetitions was conducted to increase the robustness of the assessment. Human nasal epithelial cultures (the 3-D MucilAir™ model) were exposed to comparable concentrations of 3R4F smoke and THS2.2 aerosol. A low concentration of 3R4F smoke was applied and matched with a low concentration of THS2.2 aerosol (at a target nicotine concentration of 0.15 mg/l smoke or aerosol). A high concentration of 3R4F smoke was matched with a medium concentration of THS2.2 (at a target nicotine concentration of 0.25 mg/l smoke or aerosol). In addition, a higher concentration of THS2.2 was applied at a target nicotine concentration of 0.44 mg/l aerosol. Using the Dilution/Distribution Module of the Vitrocell® 24/48 exposure system, these specific nicotine concentrations were achieved by diluting 3R4F smoke or THS2.2 aerosol during their exposure to the nasal cultures (Fig. 1).

![Fig. 2: Concentrations of carbonyls deposited in the Vitrocell® Base Module following 3R4F smoke and THS2.2 aerosol exposure](image)

The mean of the representative carbonyls deposited in the PBS-filled Vitrocell® Base Module after a 28 min 3R4F smoke or THS2.2 aerosol exposure at the applied concentrations are shown. 3R4F smoke concentrations were applied at 0.15 and 0.25 mg nicotine/l smoke. Whereas THS2.2 aerosol concentrations were applied at 0.15, 0.27, and 0.44 mg nicotine/l aerosol. The concentration of carbonyls is reported as μg/ml PBS. (N = 10 samplings throughout the study period).

Abbreviations: Nic, nicotine; SEM, standard error of the mean.

the concentrations tested, endpoints, and post-exposure time points. To compute the difference (Δ) for AK assay and CYP activity assay, their normalized levels were used. For the immunostaining quantification, the proportion of positive stained cells was used. For the secreted pro-inflammatory mediators, the logarithmic base 10 of the concentration was used to compute the difference (Δ). Subsequently, for each of these endpoints (for a given concentration and post-exposure time point), the mean value of the differences obtained from the experimental repetitions 1 and 2 were correlated to the mean value from the differences obtained from the experimental repetitions 3, 4, and 5.

To obtain the correlations of the mRNA and miRNA profiles, the fold-changes of mRNA between the exposed samples and air controls were calculated (for a given concentration and post-exposure time point). The mean fold-changes for each mRNA (and miRNA) obtained from the experimental repetitions 1 and 2 were then correlated to the mean fold-changes for each mRNA (and miRNA) obtained from the experimental 3, 4, and 5.
Throughout the study period, the actual concentrations of nicotine in the applied dilutions of 3R4F smoke and THS2.2 aerosols were monitored by conducting trapping experiments (four independent trappings were done throughout the study period). Table 1 shows that the actual nicotine concentrations closely matched the target concentrations in 3R4F smoke and THS2.2 aerosols. The results show that diluting 3R4F smoke with air at 7-8% in the Dilution/Distribution Module of the Vitrocell® 24/48 exposure system resulted in nicotine concentrations of 0.137-0.156 mg/l. These concentrations of nicotine were comparable to the diluted THS2.2 aerosol at 12.8-13% (i.e., concentrations of 0.145-0.154 mg/l). Moreover, the higher percentages of 3R4F dilution (13-15%) in the Dilution/Distribution Module resulted in nicotine concentrations of 0.240-0.263 mg/l. These concentrations were similar to the THS2.2 aerosols at 22.6-24.0% (i.e., concentrations of 0.260-0.292 mg/l).

To characterize the deposition of various carbonyls following the exposure using the Vitrocell® 24/48 exposure system, PBS-filled Cultivation Base Modules were collected. These were exposed to the applied dilutions of 3R4F smoke and THS2.2 aerosols for 28 min. These samplings were assessed throughout the study period (N = 10 samplings were conducted). At comparable levels of nicotine concentrations (i.e., between (3R4F (0.15) and THS2.2 (0.15); and between 3R4F (0.25) and THS2.2 (0.27)), the deposited concentrations of various carbonyls were lower in the PBS exposed to THS2.2 aerosol, as compared with that exposed to 3R4F smoke (Fig. 2). A concentration-dependent increase of deposited carbonyls in PBS was observed following exposure to THS2.2 aerosol when it was applied up

Fig. 3: Cytotoxicity and tissue morphology after exposure
(A) Mean cytotoxicity levels evaluated by AK assay at various time points post-exposure. The AK levels were normalized relative to the positive and negative controls. Nicotine concentrations in 3R4F smoke or THS2.2 aerosols are indicated for each group (mg/l, x-axis). #: indicates p < 0.05 compared with their corresponding air controls. * indicates p < 0.05 differences with 3R4F (0.15) and § indicates the difference with 3R4F (0.25). (B) and (C) Representative images of H&E/Alcian Blue-stained nasal culture sections at 48 and 72 h post-exposure, respectively. Abbreviations: AK, adenylate kinase; H&E, hematoxylin and eosin; Nic, nicotine; SEM, standard error of the mean.
to a concentration of 0.27 mg nicotine/l aerosol, whereas no marked increase in the deposited carbonyls was observed when the THS2.2 aerosol was applied at a nicotine concentration of 0.44 mg/l (i.e., THS2.2 (0.44)) (Fig. 2).

3.2 Cytotoxicity and tissue morphology
Cytotoxicity associated with 3R4F smoke and THS2.2 aerosol exposure was assessed at various time-points after exposure using an adenylate kinase (AK) release-assay. AK is released into the basolateral medium of the nasal cultures upon cell membrane damage. At 4 h post-exposure, the cytotoxicity levels across all groups were not significantly different (Fig. 3A). At later time points after exposure (24, 48, and 72 h), greater cytotoxicity levels were observed in the 3R4F (0.25) group compared with air controls. In contrast, no difference was observed across the THS2.2 exposed groups at all concentrations and all post-exposure time points tested, relative to air control.

Histological assessment was performed to assess the impact of exposure on the morphology of the cultures post-exposure (Fig. 3B,C). At 48 and 72 h post-exposure, a marked thinning of the epithelium was only observed in the 3R4F (0.25) group (Fig. 3B,C).

To evaluate further the possible adverse effects of THS2.2 aerosol, a dose range assessment was conducted. The nasal cultures were exposed to a broader range of THS2.2 concentrations. Various dilutions of THS2.2 were applied to the cultures using the Vitrocell® 24/48 exposure system, corresponding to concentrations of nicotine between 0.15 mg/l and 1.79 mg/l (the experimental procedure of the exposure run and the summary endpoints is reported in Fig. S1, https://doi.org/10.14573/altex.1605041s). Culture morphology and cytotoxicity were assessed at 72 h post-exposure. This dose range assessment showed that the cultures exposed to THS2.2 aerosol at concentrations above 1 mg nicotine/l aerosol exhibited morphological changes (Fig. 4). The AK assay-based cytotoxicity also showed 15 -35% increases in cytotoxicity following THS2.2 aerosol exposure at concentrations of 1.02 and 1.79 mg/l nicotine, as compared with air controls.

3.3 Immunostaining analysis
Immunostaining was performed to evaluate whether the proportions of different cell types were impacted by the exposure. The expression of p63, Ki67, and FoxJ1 in the culture sections was examined to detect basal cells, proliferating cells and columnar ciliated cells, respectively. Immunostaining was not performed in the tissues exposed to the higher concentration of 3R4F (i.e., 3R4F (0.25) group) because most of the tissue sections showed substantial tissue damage (Fig. 3B,C). Quantification of the staining was expressed as a proportion of the positive-stained cells respective to the total cell numbers per tissue section, and as compared with the air control.

Figure 5A shows that the p63 immunostaining was confined to the basal cells and Ki67 was mostly limited to the basal cells. For the cultures collected at 48 h post-exposure, decreased levels of the Ki-67-stained cell proportion were observed upon 3R4F and THS2.2 exposure (Fig. 5B). However, relative to air
Fig. 5: Quantification of p63, Ki67, and FoxJ1 immunostaining
(A) Representative images of the immunostained nasal culture sections. Arrows indicate the representative positive-stained cells. (B) and (C) Mean proportions of positive-stained cells in the sections of 3R4F-exposed and THS2.2-exposed cultures assessed at 48 and 72 h post-exposure, respectively. The proportion of positively-stained cells was digitally quantified relative to the total cell number. Nicotine concentrations in 3R4F smoke or THS2.2 aerosols are indicated for each group (mg/l, x-axis).

Fig. 6: Alterations of cilia beating frequency and activity of CYP1A1/CYP1B1
(A) Mean levels of cilia beating frequency (left panels) and the power magnitude of the detected beating signal (right panels) before exposure and at various time points after exposure. (B) Mean activity levels of CYP1A1/CYP1B1 (combined). The activity levels were normalized relative to the positive control (TCDD-treated cultures considered as 100% activity). Nicotine concentrations in the smoke or aerosol are indicated for each group (mg/l, x-axis). ★ indicates p < 0.05 compared with their corresponding air controls.
# indicates p < 0.05 differences with 3R4F (0.15) and § indicates the difference with 3R4F (0.25). Abbreviations: CYP, cytochrome P450; TCDD, 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzodioxin; Hz, Hertz; SEM, standard error of the mean; CTRL, control; Nic, nicotine.
Fig. 7: Profiles of secreted pro-inflammatory mediators following exposure

(A) Mean concentrations of pro-inflammatory mediators measured in the basolateral media of the cultures at 24, 48, or 72 h post-exposure (PE) for each group. (B) Heatmaps showing the fold-changes of the mean concentrations relative to their corresponding air control. Red and blue represent the degree of increased and decreased fold-changes, respectively. Nicotine concentrations in the smoke or aerosol are indicated for each group (mg/l, x-axis).
controls, 3R4F smoke exposure caused a greater reduction of the Ki-67 proportion as compared with the reduction following THS2.2 (at all concentrations tested).

At 72 h post-exposure, a lower proportion of FoxJ1-positive stained cells was observed in the 3R4F (0.15) group, as compared with the air control (Fig. 5C). No substantial changes were observed upon THS2.2 exposure.

3.4 Cilia beating of the nasal cultures
Cilia beating frequency (CBF) levels were first monitored before the cultures were exposed to 3R4F smoke or THS2.2 aerosol. This first measurement was done to assess the variability of baseline cilia beating levels. The impact of exposure on CBF was then evaluated immediately after exposure (referred to as 0 h post-exposure), and at 24 and 48 h post-exposure. Figure 6A shows that the detected CBF levels before exposure were comparable across all groups (6-8 Hz).

Immediately after exposure (0 h post-exposure), 3R4F (0.15)-exposed cultures had reduced levels of CBF as compared with the air control. A further reduction of the CBF was observed in the 3R4F (0.25) group. 24 and 48 h post-exposure to 3R4F (0.25), the CBF levels were comparable to the air control. THS2.2 aerosol exposure, at all post-exposure time-points, was linked to minimal changes of CBF levels, as compared with the air control.

The power of the detected CBF signal (FFT power magnitudes) was reduced in a concentration-dependent manner following 3R4F smoke exposure (Fig. 6A). Furthermore, following THS2.2 aerosols exposure, the power of the detected signals was minimally altered (at all concentrations tested and all post-exposure time points) relative to the air controls.

3.5 Alterations of CYP1A1/CYP1B1 activity
Cytochrome P450 (CYP)1A1 and 1B1 metabolize toxicants, such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), nitrosamines, and arylamines, found in CS. CYP1A1 is the most actively studied human pulmonary CYP because of its importance in PAH metabolism (Hukkanen et al., 2002). CYP1A1 is inducible by benzo-(a)pyrene in primary human nasal epithelial cells in vitro (Baulig et al., 2003). CYP1B1 is expressed in human lung tissues and is inducible by smoking; it also metabolizes PAHs (Hukkanen et al., 2002). In the present study, the impact of exposure on the activity of CYP1A1/CYP1B1 (combined) was assessed at 24, 48, and 72 h post-exposure (Fig. 6B).

At 24 h post-exposure both 3R4F and THS2.2 did not substantially impact the activity of CYP1A1/CYP1B1 relative to air controls. At 48 and 72 h post-exposure, 3R4F (0.15)-exposed cultures had a greater level of CYP1A1/CYP1B1 activity than the air controls (Fig. 6B). Upon exposure to the higher concentration of 3R4F (i.e., 3R4F (0.25)), the levels of CYP1A1/CYP1B1 activity were comparable to those of the air control (at 48 and 72 h post-exposure). Furthermore, no substantial alterations in CYP1A1/CYP1B1 activity were observed across all groups following THS2.2 aerosol (at all concentrations tested).

3.6 Profiles of secreted pro-inflammatory mediators following exposure
To determine the impact of 3R4F smoke and THS2.2 aerosol on the secretion of pro-inflammatory mediators, the concentrations of cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors were measured in the basolateral media of nasal cultures at various post-exposure time points (as a cross-sectional sampling).

With an increasing concentration of 3R4F exposure, increased concentrations of pro-inflammatory mediators were measured in the basolateral media (Fig. 7A). However, the secreted levels of TIMP1, VEGFA and IL6 protein were not altered in a concentration-dependent manner in the 3R4F-exposed cultures. The longer the post-exposure time, the greater the concentration of the secreted mediators; this was particularly evident in the 3R4F-exposed cultures. Following THS2.2 exposure (at all concentrations and post-exposure time points), modest changes of the mediator levels were observed as compared with the air controls. Only the secreted levels of VEGFA were increased in a concentration-dependent manner in the THS2.2-exposed cultures.

The exposure-induced impact (i.e., fold-changes as compared with their corresponding air controls) is shown in Figure 7B. The fold-changes of each of the mediators relative to their respective air controls are displayed as heatmaps. The heatmaps display a pattern of the increasing fold-changes following 3R4F smoke.

3.7 Exposure impact on global mRNA profiles
Molecular alteration in already damaged tissues may simply indicate the presence of tissue injury that has occurred (Davis et al., 2013). Therefore, transcriptomic profiles from such tissues cannot infer the toxicity-related mechanisms of exposure. In this study, an investigation of the possible toxicity-related mechanisms following 3R4F smoke of THS2.2 aerosol exposure was performed using cultures that remained intact (or minimally damaged): 3R4F (0.15); THS2.2 (0.15), THS2.2 (0.27); THS2.2 (0.44) groups.

Volcano plots showing changes of gene expression in nasal cultures following 3R4F and THS2.2 exposure are shown in Figure 8A. For a given exposure condition (an exposed sample vs. the air control, at a given post-exposure time point), the alterations of gene expression (increase or decrease) with the -log10 adjusted (FDR) p-value statistics are shown. The plots demonstrate that at the earliest post-exposure time (4 h), a more robust alteration of gene expression was observed for 3R4F and THS2.2 groups. At later time points, fewer alterations in gene expression were observed.

To infer the biological effects of exposures from the transcriptomics data, a network-based systems biology approach was conducted. This approach uses biological network models that contain a series of cause-and-effect relationships (Boué et al., 2015). The network models can be grouped into network families, representing more general biological processes (e.g., Cell Proliferation, Cell Fate, Cell Stress, or Inflammatory Processes). The nodes of the networks correspond to biological entities (e.g., protein abundances, protein activities, chemical compounds, or biological processes...
Fig. 8: Impact of exposure on the global gene expression and biological network models

(A) Volcano plots showing the alterations of gene expression (each dot represents a gene) for each exposure group as compared with the air control. The changes of gene expression (x-axis) are shown as increased and decreased when > 0 and < 0, respectively. -log$_{10}$ FDR $p$-value is displayed in the y-axis. A FDR $p$-value = 0.05 is considered as the statistical significance threshold. (B) Perturbation levels for various network models (exposed group vs. air control). The network names and their families are listed on the left side of the heatmap. The color gradient represents scores of the network perturbation (based on the NPA algorithm), which are normalized to the maximum NPA score per network. A network is considered significantly perturbed (*) if the NPA score remains significant after accounting for the experimental variation and if the companion statistics O and K are significant ($p$-values < 0.05).
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8B shows the NPA scores for the various biological network models that were perturbed following exposure as compared relative to the air controls. The impact of exposure on the nasal cultures (perturbed network scores) decreased with duration of the post-exposure time (Fig. 8B). At a given post-exposure time point, the majority of the networks were perturbed by a greater magnitude following exposure to 3R4F (0.15) compared with THS2.2 (0.25), and THS2.2 (0.44).

Within the Cell Fate network family, 3R4F (0.15) exposure was linked to a sustained perturbation – impacted at all post-exposure time points – of Senescence, Response to DNA Damage, and Apoptosis networks (Fig. 8B). Within the Cell Proliferation network family, a sustained impact of 3R4F on MAPK, Jak Stat, and Growth Factor networks was observed. Moreover, within the Cell Stress network family, continuous perturbations of Xenobiotic Metabolism Response, Oxidative Stress, and NFE2L2 Signaling networks were observed following 3R4F described as molecular entities (Hoeng et al., 2014; Boué et al., 2015). Each of the edges in the network models carries a causal directionality between two nodes based on information reported in the scientific literature (Hoeng et al., 2014; Boué et al., 2015). For many nodes, this literature-derived information supporting the relationship between a node and the expression of certain genes is available. Therefore, a collection of transcriptome data (exposed vs. control samples) can be used to predict computationally the activity/functionality of the node. A quantitative approach to infer an impact of exposure using the collection of biological network models was previously developed and reported: the Network Perturbation Amplitude (NPA) approach (Hoeng et al., 2014). The algorithm is based on backward reasoning, where the changes in gene expression are considered a consequence of the activity of an “upstream” node. Finally, the predicted activation or inhibition of the backbone nodes and the causality of the network edges permit inference and computation on the network as a whole. Using this approach, Figure 8B shows the NPA scores for the various biological network models that were perturbed following exposure as compared relative to the air controls.

The impact of exposure on the nasal cultures (perturbed network scores) decreased with duration of the post-exposure time (Fig. 8B). At a given post-exposure time point, the majority of the networks were perturbed by a greater magnitude following exposure to 3R4F (0.15) compared with THS2.2 (0.15), THS2.2 (0.25), and THS2.2 (0.44).

Within the Cell Fate network family, 3R4F (0.15) exposure was linked to a sustained perturbation – impacted at all post-exposure time points – of Senescence, Response to DNA Damage, and Apoptosis networks (Fig. 8B). Within the Cell Proliferation network family, a sustained impact of 3R4F (0.15) on MAPK, Jak Stat, and Growth Factor networks was observed. Moreover, within the Cell Stress network family, continuous perturbations of Xenobiotic Metabolism Response, Oxidative Stress, and NFE2L2 Signaling networks were observed following 3R4F.

### Fig. 9: Gene-set analysis showing various biological pathways enriched in the datasets

A heatmap showing selected KEGG pathways (those corresponding to the network models) that were significantly enriched in the dataset. The corresponding network models are designated using square brackets ([ ]). The color gradient represents the score enrichment: the mean of the gene score (log2(fold-change)). Gray color indicates a lack of pathway annotation in the respective datasets. Two categories of significance are shown: first and second characters (*) indicate the Q1 and Q2, respectively.
Finally, all networks within the inflammatory processes network family – Tissue Damage, Epithelial Mucus Hypersecretion, and Epithelial Innate Immune Activation – were impacted by 3R4F (0.15), at all post-exposure time points.

At a comparable nicotine concentration (0.15 mg nicotine/l), the pattern of the THS2.2-induced network perturbation in the nasal cultures was different from that of 3R4F (0.15): THS2.2 (0.15) was only linked to significant NPA scores at 4 h post-exposure time points. A transient impact was observed; most of the network models were no longer significantly perturbed at 48-72 h post-exposure. When THS2.2 aerosols were applied to the nasal cultures at higher concentrations of nicotine (0.27 and 0.44 mg nicotine/l aerosol), increased NPA scores were observed. These results indicate a concentration-dependent increase of the THS2.2-induced perturbations.

A complementary biological interpretation of the transcriptome data was performed using enrichment analysis. Figure 9 shows a selected collection of the KEGG pathways: the heatmap displays selected KEGG pathways that were analogous to the network models (Fig. 9; the network names are given in square brackets, [ ]). If the analogous network model was not available, a network model that was closely related to the KEGG pathway was assigned. For example, if the key molecules being described in a network model (http://www.causalbionet.com/) resemble the biology described for a particular KEGG pathway (http://www.genome.jp/kegg/pathway.html), the network model was considered analogous. A complete list of the KEGG pathways obtained from the enrichment analysis is shown in Figure S2, https://doi.org/10.14573/altex.1605041s. Figure 9 shows that 3R4F (0.15) exposure was associated with a significant enrichment of many of the KEGG pathways at 4, 24, 48, and 72 h post-exposure. At a comparable nicotine concentration, THS2.2 (0.15) aerosol was linked to significant enrichment of KEGG pathways, particularly at 4 h post-exposure. When the nicotine concentration in the THS2.2 aerosol was applied at a concentration of 0.44 mg nicotine/l aerosol (i.e., the THS2.2 (0.44) group), significant enrichment of the “Metabo-
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Figure 10B shows which classes of the KEGG pathways were enriched and associated with each of the miRNA clusters. The downregulated miRNAs within cluster A and C were linked to cellular metabolism (supported by the annotations “amino acid metabolism”, “carbohydrate metabolism”, “lipid metabolism”, “translation” and “transcription”). These pathways were linked to a cellular response toward an environmental stressor (as evidenced by the annotation “environmental adaptation”). Moreover, the upregulation of miRNAs within cluster D was associated with cellular interaction (“cellular community” and “signaling molecules and interaction”) and “lipid metabolism.” The increased levels of miRNA within cluster B (i.e., miR-4521) were not linked to a particular cellular process.

3.9 Robustness of the study design:
Correlation of the outcomes across the experimental repetitions

The present study comprised five experimental repetitions in which the impact of 3R4F smoke and THS2.2 aerosols on a nasal 3-D culture model was assessed. The collection of endpoints described above were obtained across these experimental repetitions.

| miRNA, a regulator of gene expression, influences many biological processes (Pritchard et al., 2012). To complement the analysis (based on the cultures’ transcriptome profiles described above), miRNA profiles of the nasal cultures were obtained. Similar to the transcriptome analysis, by which deriving information from damaged tissue was avoided, the miRNA expression profiles from the following groups was derived: 3R4F (0.15); THS2.2 (0.15); THS2.2 (0.27); and THS2.2 (0.44) groups (as compared with the air control).

The alterations of miRNAs upon exposure (relative to the air control) are displayed as volcano plots in Figure 10A. Different from the mRNA profile pattern following 3R4F (0.15) smoke exposure, the miRNA profile was altered to a greater degree at 72 h post-exposure than at earlier time points (i.e., a greater number of the significantly altered miRNAs was detected). However, following THS2.2 (0.25) and THS2.2 (0.44) aerosols, more miRNAs were significantly altered at 4 h post-exposure than at the later time points.

To determine biological insights from the miRNA alterations, significantly altered FDR (i.e., those with p-value < 0.05 and with fold-changes of at least 0.33) were clustered. They were then uploaded to Diana-TarBase, from which the miRNA target genes were subsequently identified. These target genes (per miRNA cluster) were then subjected to an enrichment analysis. Figure 10B shows which classes of the KEGG pathways were enriched and associated with each of the miRNA clusters. The downregulated miRNAs within cluster A and C were linked to cellular metabolism (supported by the annotations “amino acid metabolism”, “carbohydrate metabolism”, “lipid metabolism”, “translation” and “transcription”). These pathways were linked to a cellular response toward an environmental stressor (as evidenced by the annotation “environmental adaptation”). Moreover, the upregulation of miRNAs within cluster D was associated with cellular interaction (“cellular community” and “signaling molecules and interaction”) and “lipid metabolism.” The increased levels of miRNA within cluster B (i.e., miR-4521) were not linked to a particular cellular process.

3.8 Exposure impact on global miRNA profiles of the nasal cultures

miRNA, a regulator of gene expression, influences many biological processes (Pritchard et al., 2012). To complement the analysis (based on the cultures’ transcriptome profiles described above), miRNA profiles of the nasal cultures were obtained. Similar to the transcriptome analysis, by which deriving information from damaged tissue was avoided, the miRNA expression profiles from the following groups was derived: 3R4F (0.15); THS2.2 (0.15); THS2.2 (0.27); and THS2.2 (0.44) groups (as compared with the air control).

The alterations of miRNAs upon exposure (relative to the air control) are displayed as volcano plots in Figure 10A. Different from the mRNA profile pattern following 3R4F (0.15) smoke exposure, the miRNA profile was altered to a greater degree at 72 h post-exposure than at earlier time points (i.e., a greater number of the significantly altered miRNAs was detected). However, following THS2.2 (0.25) and THS2.2 (0.44) aerosols, more miRNAs were significantly altered at 4 h post-exposure than at the later time points.

To determine biological insights from the miRNA alterations, significantly altered FDR (i.e., those with p-value < 0.05 and with fold-changes of at least 0.33) were clustered. They were then uploaded to Diana-TarBase, from which the miRNA target genes were subsequently identified. These target genes (per miRNA cluster) were then subjected to an enrichment analysis. Figure 10B shows which classes of the KEGG pathways were enriched and associated with each of the miRNA clusters. The downregulated miRNAs within cluster A and C were linked to cellular metabolism (supported by the annotations “amino acid metabolism”, “carbohydrate metabolism”, “lipid metabolism”, “translation” and “transcription”). These pathways were linked to a cellular response toward an environmental stressor (as evidenced by the annotation “environmental adaptation”). Moreover, the upregulation of miRNAs within cluster D was associated with cellular interaction (“cellular community” and “signaling molecules and interaction”) and “lipid metabolism.” The increased levels of miRNA within cluster B (i.e., miR-4521) were not linked to a particular cellular process.

3.9 Robustness of the study design:
Correlation of the outcomes across the experimental repetitions

The present study comprised five experimental repetitions in which the impact of 3R4F smoke and THS2.2 aerosols on a nasal 3-D culture model was assessed. The collection of endpoints described above were obtained across these experimental repetitions.
Fig. 12: Correlation plots of gene fold-changes generated from the array analysis
Each dot represents a gene.
The fold-change of a given gene from the combined experiments 1 and 2 (x-axis) was compared with the fold-change of the same gene from the combined experiment 3, 4, and 5 (y-axis). Abbreviations: Cor, correlation; DEG, differentially expressed gene; Exp, experiment. The correlation plots for all groups are reported in Fig. S4.

Correlation Between Fold-Changes of the mRNA of the Experimental Repetitions

3R4F (0.15) 4 h Post-Exposure

Cor. Pearson = 0.83
Cor. Spearman = 0.83

THS2.2 (0.15) 4 h Post-Exposure

Cor. Pearson = 0.58
Cor. Spearman = 0.29

THS2.2 (0.27) 4 h Post-Exposure

Cor. Pearson = 0.80
Cor. Spearman = 0.59

THS2.2 (0.44) 4 h Post-Exposure

Cor. Pearson = 0.79
Cor. Spearman = 0.57

Correlations for the different comparisons were generated with each dot in the correlation plots representing an individual gene (mRNA). Figure 12 displays only representative groups that were greatly perturbed following exposure (as reflected by the volcano plots in Fig. 8A). The correlation plots for all groups are reported in Figure S4, https://doi.org/10.14573/altex.1605041s.

The plots (Fig. 12) show that at 4 h post-exposure in tissues exposed to 3R4F (0.15), gene expression changes between experiments 1 and 2 and those obtained in experiments 3, 4, and 5 were correlated (Pearson correlation of 0.83). Furthermore, in THS2.2 (0.15), THS2.2 (0.27), and THS2.2 (0.44) the gene expression changes correlated between experiments 1 and 2 and experiments 3, 4, and 5. The Pearson correlations of the THS2.2-associated fold-changes were lower than for 3R4F (0.15) because of the lower levels of gene alterations as shown by the volcano plots (see Fig. 8A).

A similar approach to that assessing the reproducibility of the mRNA changes was used to assess the reproducibility of the miRNA expression changes obtained from the different
4 Discussion

Advances in the field of in vitro toxicological testing are motivated by the principle of the Three Rs (Balls, 2010) and the Tox21 framework (Krewski et al. 2010), both demanding that animal use should be minimized and mechanistic data should be acquired using human cellular-based in vitro systems (Sheldon and Cohen Hubal, 2009; Berg et al., 2011; Rovida et al., 2015). Owing to the increasing concern about the toxicity impact of particle exposure via inhalation, non-animal alternative methods that are appropriate for predicting respiratory toxicity effects in humans are needed. The present study explored the relevance of a human 3-D nasal culture model to assess the biological impact of aerosols generated from a candidate modified risk tobacco product (cMRTP), THS2.2, as compared with smoke generated from a reference cigarette 3R4F. Human nasal epithelium is the first barrier against inhaled toxicants, and thus is relevant for the toxicological assessment of CS and tobacco heated aerosol.
To minimize the variabilities and increase the robustness of the assessment, this study comprised five experimental repetitions. The repetitions were performed over a period of about twelve months. For each of the repetitions, a new batch of nasal cultures was obtained from the supplier. The nasal epithelial tissue cultures used in this study were reconstituted from primary nasal epithelial cells of a single donor: a 30-year-old male nonsmoker. Although the use of a single donor has the potential drawback of only capturing the donor-specific response, we chose to use one donor to exclude donor-to-donor variability. Future studies using a larger number of donors could be done to confirm the observations reported here. The results reported here are aggregated data collected throughout the study period. Air-exposed cultures were included (referred to as the air control) for each of the exposure runs performed to confine the effect of the experimental exposure. Moreover, the study was designed such that the batch effect could be reduced; for example, the variability of the culture batch, operator, reagent, and day of the exposure run and sample collection. These variabilities may lead to improper biological conclusions, because observations caused by the batch effect cannot be distinguished from real biological effects. To explore the associations across the experimental repetitions, correlation analyses of the various endpoint values were reported. The correlation analyses indicated that the results obtained from the different experimental repetitions were correlated, although we acknowledge that additional sources of variability might exist.

The biological impact of THS2.2 aerosol was assessed relative to that of 3R4F smoke by applying the exposure to the nasal culture at comparable nicotine concentrations. The target nicotine concentrations were monitored throughout the study via multiple trapping experiments, where the diluted 3R4F smoke or THS2.2 aerosol was trapped in the EXtrelut® 3NT column. We observed that the applied dilutions of 3R4F smoke and THS2.2 aerosols were close to the intended target nicotine concentrations. Additional characterization of the smoke/aerosol involved the measurements of representative carbonyls deposited in the Base Module of the Vitrocell® 24/48 exposure system. The concentrations of the representative carbonyls that were deposited following 28 min exposure to the highest concentration of 3R4F (at a nicotine concentration of 0.25 mg/l), were 3.7-10.3 fold greater than those in the samples exposed to THS2.2 at the comparable nicotine concentration (0.27 mg/l).

Pronounced biological effects were associated with exposure as observed by histological analysis and AK assay, which revealed changes to the epithelium morphology and cytotoxicity, respectively. Thinning of the nasal epithelium was observed in H&E-stained sections of cultures exposed to 3R4F smoke (at a nicotine concentration of 0.25 mg/l) (equivalent to 14% 3R4F smoke dilution). This was consistent with our previous findings, where we observed that 15% 3R4F smoke dilution resulted in thinning of the human 3-D bronchial epithelium (Iskandar et al., 2015). The current study further showed an increase of cytotoxicity by 30-40% in 3R4F (0.25)-exposed cultures relative to the air control as determined in the AK assay. Elevated cytotoxicity levels were not detected at the early post-exposure time point (4 h) and were only observed at the 24, 48, and 72 h post-exposure time points. The delayed response (increased levels of cytotoxicity at later post-exposure time points) suggests the inability of the nasal cultures to recover fully from 3R4F smoke-induced toxicity. However, when THS2.2 aerosol was applied at a comparable nicotine concentration (0.27 mg nicotine/l), thinning of the nasal epithelium was not observed. Also, at all post-exposure time points, AK-based cytotoxicity levels of the THS2.2 (0.27)-exposed cultures were similar to the air controls. Only when THS2.2 aerosols were applied at nicotine concentrations above 1 mg/l, morphological changes and increased cytotoxicity levels were observed. Altogether, these results suggest that a 4-fold increase of nicotine concentrations in THS2.2 aerosol was required to elicit morphological changes/cytotoxicity similar to that in the nasal cultures exposed to 3R4F smoke.

Reduced proportions of Ki67-positive stained cells were detected in sections of nasal cultures exposed to THS2.2 (0.15) and 3R4F (0.25) smoke: reductions of 20-22% (at 48 h post-exposure) and 8-28% (at 72 h post-exposure) were observed compared with the air control. The reduced proportions of Ki67-positive stained cells relative to the air control were similarly observed in the 3R4F (0.15)-exposed cultures. However, the 3R4F (0.15)-induced reduction of Ki67 proportions occurred to a greater extent (reduced by 34%). This finding is in contrast to our previous in vitro observation following repeated CS exposure, where increased Ki67-positive stained cells were observed in human 3-D nasal and bronchial epithelial culture models (Talikka et al., 2014). Ki67 is a proliferation marker and a known driver of the cell cycle (Szabo, 2001). Increased proliferation in airway epithelial cells is considered to be a reliable indicator of many airborne chemical toxicants (Calderón-Garcidueñas et al., 1999). Our present finding is also in contrast with that observed in vivo following airborne insults. In severe asthma or upon allergen challenge, airway epithelial cells proliferate at a much higher rate than in normal healthy individuals (Cohen et al., 2007). The discrepancy between the current study and previous findings may be attributed to i) the mode of exposure (acute vs. repeated), and ii) an increase of mucus-producing cells to shield the epithelium from toxic exposure (Harkema et al., 2006). Previously, Harkema et al. reported that acute/short-term exposure to ozone may reduce the proliferative capacity of nasal epithelia, while the number of mucus-producing cells increased correspondingly to protect the epithelium (Harkema et al., 2006). Similarly, our data showed that a decline in the proportion of Ki67-positive stained cells was transient (only observed at 48 h, but not at 72 h post-exposure), and was complemented by an upregulation of the expression of the MUC5AC gene – a specific marker for secretory-goblet cells (Ding and Zheng, 2007) (Fig. S3, https://doi.org/10.14573/altex.1605041s).

The present study showed a marked reduction of the detected CBF immediately (0 h) after exposure to 3R4F (0.25) smoke.
This reduced beating frequency level was likely linked to the overt morphological changes discussed before (thinning of the nasal epithelium cultures). Although the results suggest that the CBF of the 3R4F (0.25)-exposed cultures returned to levels comparable to the air controls over time (at 24 and 48 h post-exposure), the power magnitudes (i.e., detected cilia-beating signals) were significantly reduced. Therefore, this result inferred that the proportion of cilia on the surface of the 3R4F (0.25)-exposed cultures was greatly reduced over the post-exposure time points studied. The reduced CBF is in agreement with other in vivo and in vitro studies, indicating the negative impact of CS on mucociliary clearance (Stanley et al., 1986; Kreindler et al., 2005; Cohen et al., 2009). Normal mucociliary clearance is dependent upon a proper ciliary beating and the biological properties of the airway surface liquid (Cohen et al., 2009; Virgin et al., 2010), and acts as an initial defense mechanism to clear inhaled toxicants from the nasal passages (Harkema et al., 2006). The reduced CBF (and the power of the detected signals) in the 3R4F (0.25)-exposed cultures was consistent with the observed reduced proportion of FoxJ1-positive stained cells. FoxJ1, a marker for ciliated cells, is a transcription factor that regulates cilia-related genes (Brekman et al., 2014). Overexpression of FoxJ1 was reported to halt the CS extract-mediated inhibition of cilia growth in differentiating normal human airway basal cells (Brekman et al., 2014). Smoking is also associated with a shortening of airway cilia (Leopold et al., 2009; Brekman et al., 2014). In contrast, following THS2.2 aerosol exposure, the frequencies of cilia beating and the power of the detected signals were not significantly different from those of the air control. Moreover, the proportions of FoxJ1-positive stained cells in the THS2.2 exposed tissues (at all concentrations tested) were not significantly altered. Altogether, the impact of 3R4F smoke exposure on the ciliary function of nasal cultures was more pronounced compared with THS2.2 aerosol exposure (at all tested concentrations).

CS exposure is linked to the increased CYP1A1/CYP1B1 activity (Hukkanen et al., 2002; Hussain et al., 2014). However, our results indicated that the prominent 3R4F (0.25)-induced toxicity (thinning of the nasal epithelium cultures and increased cytotoxicity level) also impacted the metabolic capacity of the cultures, i.e., the capacity to metabolize nitrosamines and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, which are abundant in CS, via CYP1A1 and CYP1B1 (Hukkanen et al., 2002). While, in 3R4F (0.15)-exposed cultures, where the epithelium remained intact (no thinning), a 12% increase in CYP1A1/CYP1B1 activity was detected in the 3R4F (0.15) group at 48 and 72 h post-exposure, the activity of CYP1A1/ CYP1B1 in the 3R4F (0.25)-exposed cultures was comparable to the air controls at these time points. This finding is consistent with our previous observations in human 3-D bronchial culture models (Iskandar et al., 2015). In contrast, the levels of CYP1A1/CYP1B1 activity in THS2.2-exposed cultures (at all concentrations tested) were nearly comparable to the air control. These results suggested a lower presence of nitrosamines and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in THS2.2 aerosol, as compared with 3R4F smoke (at all concentrations tested).

Although the impact of 3R4F (0.15) did not cause overt morphological changes in the cultures (as assessed by the histology analysis and AK assay), the systems level (omics) analyses demonstrate global mRNA (and miRNA) alterations relative to the air controls. The network-based systems biology analysis indicated that almost all biological network models were significantly perturbed following 3R4F (0.15) exposure. The degree of biological impact (evidenced by the scores of NPA) was highest at 4 h post-exposure and diminished with increasing post-exposure time. These observations suggested that the cultures could eventually cope with the exposure-induced impact over time (or were capable of initiating a repair mechanism). This fading pattern of the biological impact over post-exposure time is in agreement with our previous observation where an exposure of 8% 3R4F smoke (containing a nicotine concentration of approximately 0.15 mg/l) was linked to a declining biological impact on in vitro human 3-D bronchial epithelial cultures (Iskandar et al., 2015). Furthermore, the current study shows that THS2.2 aerosol exposure (all concentrations tested) was also associated with a declining impact on the network perturbation/biological pathways. Interestingly, the scores of the network perturbation following THS2.2 aerosol exposure waned more rapidly than those following 3R4F (0.15) over increasing post-exposure time. Therefore, the 3R4F-induced impact on nasal cultures was greater than the THS2.2-induced impact, indicating that the nasal cultures could cope better with THS2.2 aerosol exposure than with 3R4F smoke. Furthermore, to complement and support the network-based analysis, we conducted a gene-set analysis using a previously published method (Varermo et al., 2013). The gene set analysis was performed to identify various biological pathways that were enriched in the dataset (exposed vs. air control). The gene-set analysis provided a similar finding to the previous study, where fewer pathways were enriched by 3R4F (0.15) at the 72 h post-exposure time point. Likewise, the gene-set analysis demonstrated that THS2.2 aerosol exposure was predominantly linked to various KEGG pathways only at the 4 h post-exposure time point. Additionally, the analysis of miRNA suggested a more pronounced effect of 3R4F smoke on altered miRNA expression profiles of the nasal cultures as compared with the THS2.2 aerosols.

The increased level of secreted TIMP1 and VEGFA with increasing duration of post-exposure in the basolateral media of the exposed tissues (i.e., 3R4F (0.15) and THS2.2 aerosol (at all concentration tested) further substantiates the notion of activation of a cellular repair response. Normally, TIMP1 is constitutively expressed at low levels in airway epithelium (Chen et al., 2008). In various wound healing and disease models, the expression of TIMP1 in epithelial cells increases during regeneration (Chen et al., 2008). Moreover, the role of VEGFA in tissue repair is linked to increased compensatory growth during repair processes (Marwick et al., 2006). These elevated levels of secreted TIMP1 and VEGFA were also observed previously in human 3-D bronchial culture models exposed to 8% 3R4F (with a nicotine concentration of approx-
imately 0.15 mg/l) (Iskandar et al., 2015). Differently, upon a higher concentration of 3R4F (at a nicotine concentration 0.25 mg/l), which was associated with pronounced epithelium thinning and cytotoxicity, the secretion TIMP1 and VEGFA did not increase over the post-exposure time. This observation further strengthens the argument regarding the inability of the nasal culture to cope with 3R4F (0.25)-induced toxicity. These results imply that to preserve the capacity of the nasal cultures to cope with exposure-induced injury, 3R4F smoke should be applied at a nicotine concentration at least 4-times lower than that of THS2.2 aerosol.

The relevance and applicability of an in vitro human 3-D nasal epithelial culture model as a test system for the toxicity assessment of inhalation exposure, are demonstrated in this present study. With increasing concerns about the effects of ambient particles– nanoparticles, cosmetics, atmospheric pollutants, dusts, CS, and the new generation of tobacco products – on the respiratory system and pressure to find non-animal alternative test systems, available in vitro models of the respiratory tract should be assessed particularly for predicting the effects in humans. The use of animal models for studying the respiratory pathophysiology has produced variable results mainly due to interspecies differences in the anatomy and histology of the respiratory system (e.g., ratios of cell types, CYP activities, airway architecture) (BéruBé et al., 2009). The systems toxicological approach applied in this study (the combination of functional cell-based assays and computational approaches) enabled a comprehensive impact assessment of THS2.2 aerosol as compared with 3R4F smoke. Future studies may incorporate an assessment of DNA methylation profiles following THS2.2 aerosol and 3R4F smoke. The integration of multi-modality data will offer a more comprehensive overview of tissue responses following exposure.

Nevertheless, the present study suggested a minimal set of endpoints that can be considered for an exposure assessment study using 3-D organotypic nasal cultures: first, a cytotoxicity assay that is complemented by a morphological assessment (histology evaluation), second, a cellular molecular analysis leveraging a panel of genes that play a role in various biological processes (e.g., stress response, inflammatory response, apoptosis, and cell metabolism). Based on the present transcriptomics dataset, we have identified a reduced collection of genes, which could be leveraged for qPCR analyses, comprising highly responsive genes altered upon smoke/aerosol exposure (Fig. S6, https://doi.org/10.14573/altex.1605041s). Those genes could be utilized for other studies with a reduced design, i.e., a smaller scale study involving only three exposure replicates. Furthermore, a selection of exposure-related endpoints could be used to build appropriate adverse outcome pathways (AOPs). Such AOPs can correlate mechanistic data with the in vivo clinical endpoints of regulatory interest (Manuppello and Sullivan, 2015). To gain regulatory acceptance of any in vitro airway model system for predicting inhalation toxicity, in vitro airway model systems should be developed based on appropriate region-specific cells (BéruBé et al., 2009). Therefore, our systems toxicological assessment approach using a human in vitro 3-D nasal model will further support studies that enhance insights into the molecular biology mechanisms associated with the impact of exposure to CS and candidate modified risk tobacco products on the nasopharyngeal cavity of the human respiratory system.
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