Erratum to Training of Upper Respiratory Endoscopy in the Horse Using Preserved Head and Neck
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In this manuscript which appeared in ALTEX (2015), 32(4), 384-387, http://dx.doi.org/10.14573/altex.1505111 the last paragraph on page 384 under the heading Specimen preparation should read:

Conventional plastination uses formalin for tissue fixation, acetone or alcohol for dehydration, various types of silicone polymer for impregnation (e.g. from BIODUR®, Germany), and gas, light, or heat, depending on the polymer used, for curing. The Elnady Technique also involves fixation and dehydration, but employs a new non-silicone viscous polymer for impregnation, and follows a different curing process. In our preservation method, all processes run at room temperature.
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In this manuscript which appeared in ALTEX (2015), 32(4), 287-296, http://dx.doi.org/10.14573/altex.1505121 the legend of Figure 1 should read:

Fig. 1: Linear regression analysis of BG1 manual and qHTS EC₅₀/AC₅₀ values
A linear regression analysis was conducted of EC₅₀/AC₅₀ values for 33 substances that tested positive in the BG1 manual and HTS methods. A list of the chemicals used to create Figure 1 is included in Table 2. The slope of the linear regression is 0.83 with r² of 0.78.

Further, the first sentences of Section 5.3 should be:

3.5 Quantitative EC₅₀/AC₅₀ comparison
We also evaluated the quantitative differences in EC₅₀/AC₅₀ values for the 33 positive substances in both the BG1 manual and qHTS methods (Fig. 1). The slope of the linear regression presented in Figure 1 is 0.83 with an r² of 0.78, indicating that, while qualitative classifications were identical for these substances, there were some quantitative differences on a per-chemical basis.
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